



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,308	03/01/2002	Evren Eryurek	30203/38232 2061	
4743 75	590 03/08/2006		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			CHANG, JUNGWON	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/087,308	ERYUREK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jungwon Chang	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2005					
	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Global in abbolication with the provide and a	in partie quayre, rade c.e,	0.0.2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-55</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
THE DAME OF DECISION IS OBJECTED TO BY THE EXAMINET. NOTE THE ACCIDED OFFICE ACTION OF FOR 192.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Amendment filed on 11/16/05, which has been fully considered.

2. Claim 17 has been canceled. Claims 1-16 and 18-55 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-21, 23-44, and 46-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being obvious over Spriggs et al. (US 6,421,571 B1) (hereinafter Spriggs) in view of Forney et al. (US 2002/0067370 A1).
- 5. As for claim 1, Spriggs discloses a method of collecting and using data within a process plant, comprising:

collecting data from a plurality of data sources within the process plant, wherein the plurality of data sources includes a service application that is implemented by a

Art Unit: 2154

service provider to the process plant (Figs. 1 and 3; col. 2, lines 12-39); storing the collected data in a database (database module 80, Fig. 1; col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 5);

making the stored data accessible to one or more process control applications or maintenance applications within the process plant (col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 5); and

making the stored data accessible to the service application (col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25).

6. Although Spriggs teaches providing data sources including service applications from an outside (third-party) service provider (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 15), Spriggs does not *explicitly* disclose that the outside service providers may *implement* the service application. However, it is well-known and expected to those in the process and manufacturing arts (and, indeed, in virtually every computer-based art) that certain processes may be "outsourced" to outside service providers who implement the service. Indeed, due to the intricacies of many of these services, the implementation is often included in the purchase of the service or system and performed by experts working for the outside service provider, as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Forney, for example, teaches using an outside service provider for implementing a service application for collecting data from a plurality of sources within a plant (paragraph 0347). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using an outside service provider for

Art Unit: 2154

implementing a service application in order to interface effectively with third party systems, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, and in order to provide for an efficient, adaptive interface for user control and monitoring of the system, as taught by Forney (paragraphs 0013-0014).

- 7. As for claim 2, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a process control data source (col. 6, line 62 col. 7, line 5).
- 8. As for claim 3, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a field device maintenance source (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 62 col. 7, line 5).
- 9. As for claim 4, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a process model (col. 7, lines -26).
- 10. As for claim 5, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a business application (col. 7, lines -26).
- 11. As for claim 6, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is an optimization application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

Art Unit: 2154

12. As for claim 8, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is a process performance monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

- 13. As for claim 9, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is a condition monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 14. As for claim 10, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is a reliability monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 15. As for claim 11, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is an electrical equipment monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 16. As for claim 12, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a service application that is device performance monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 17. As for claim 13, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the step of collecting data includes collecting data from a data source that is intermittently

Art Unit: 2154

communicatively connected to the process plant (portable data collectors; col. 21, lines 64-67).

- 18. As for claim 14, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the service application data source is intermittently communicatively connected to the process plant (col. 21, lines 64-67).
- 19. As for claim 15, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of storing includes storing the collected data in a single database (database module 80, Fig. 1).
- 20. As for claim 16, Spriggs discloses a method of performing operations for a process plant having a controller, a plurality of devices and a first computer that implements a first application that is a process control application or a maintenance application used by plant personnel, the method comprising:

collecting first data from the first application as used in the process plant (col. 2, lines 45-56; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25);

storing the first data in a memory (database module 80, Fig. 1; col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 5);

collecting second data from a second application implemented by a service provider associated with the process plant (col. 2, lines 45-56; col. 21, lines 64-67); providing the second data to the memory storing the second data in the memory

Art Unit: 2154

(col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 5); and

making the second data available from the memory to the first application (col. 6, line 49 - col. 7, line 25; col. 21, lines 64-67).

Making the first data available from the memory to the second application (col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25).

Although Spriggs teaches providing data sources including service applications 21. from an outside (third-party) service provider (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 15), Spriggs does not explicitly disclose that the outside service providers may implement the service application. However, it is well-known and expected to those in the process and manufacturing arts (and, indeed, in virtually every computer-based art) that certain processes may be "outsourced" to outside service providers who implement the service. Indeed, due to the intricacies of many of these services, the implementation is often included in the purchase of the service or system and performed by experts working for the outside service provider, as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Forney, for example, teaches using an outside service provider for implementing a service application for collecting data from a plurality of sources within a plant (paragraph 0347). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using an outside service provider for implementing a service application in order to interface effectively with third party systems, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, and in order to provide for an efficient, adaptive interface for user control and monitoring of the system, as taught by

Art Unit: 2154

Forney (paragraphs 0013-0014).

22. As for claim 18, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting first data includes collecting data from a process controller data source (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 5).

- 23. As for claim 19, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting first data includes collecting data from a process model data source (col. 7, lines -26).
- 24. As for claim 20, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting first data includes collecting data from a business application (col. 7, lines 26).
- 25. As for claim 21, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting second data includes collecting data from a second application that is an optimization application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 26. As for claim 23, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting second data includes collecting data from a second application that is a performance monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

Art Unit: 2154

27. As for claim 24, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting second data includes collecting data from a second application that is device performance monitoring application located within a device (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

- 28. As for claim 25, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of collecting second data includes collecting data from a second application that is intermittently communicatively connected to the memory (portable data collectors; col. 21, lines 64-67).
- 29. As for claim 26, Spriggs discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the steps of storing the first and the second data in the memory includes storing the first and second data in a common memory at a single location (database module 80, Fig. 1).
- 30. As for claim 27, Spriggs discloses a data communication system within a process plant, comprising:

a first communication network associated with the process plant that uses a first communication protocol (col. 7, lines 6-44);

a first application adapted to communicate via the first communication network (col. 5, line 66 – col. 7, line 10; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25);

a second communication network associated with the process plant that uses a second communication protocol (col. 7, lines 6-44);

Art Unit: 2154

a second application adapted to communicate via the second communication network (col. 5, line 66 – col. 7, line 10; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25);

a database (database module 80, Fig. 1) communicatively coupled to the first communication network and to the second communication network, said database adapted to receive first data from the first application and second data from the second application, to store the first data and the second data and to provide the first data to the second application via the second communication network and to provide the second data to the first application via the first communication network (col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 15; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25).

31. Although Spriggs teaches providing data sources including service applications from an outside (third-party) service provider (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 15), Spriggs does not *explicitly* disclose that the outside service providers may *implement* the service application. However, it is well-known and expected to those in the process and manufacturing arts (and, indeed, in virtually every computer-based art) that certain processes may be "outsourced" to outside service providers who implement the service. Indeed, due to the intricacies of many of these services, the implementation is often included in the purchase of the service or system and performed by experts working for the outside service provider, as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Forney, for example, teaches using an outside service provider for implementing a service application for collecting data from a plurality of sources within a plant (paragraph 0347). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2154

time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using an outside service provider for implementing a service application in order to interface effectively with third party systems, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, and in order to provide for an efficient, adaptive interface for user control and monitoring of the system, as taught by Forney (paragraphs 0013-0014).

- 32. As for claim 28, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application or the second application is adapted to be intermittently connected to the first or the second communication network (portable data collectors; col. 21, lines 64-67).
- 33. As for claim 29, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application is a process performance application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 34. As for claim 30, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a device maintenance application and the second application is an optimization application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 35. As for claim 31, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application

Application/Control Number: 10/087,308

Art Unit: 2154

is an optimization application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 - col. 7, line 25).

- 36. As for claim 32, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application is a business application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 37. As for claim 33, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process performance monitoring application and the second application is a device maintenance application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 38. As for claim 34, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application is a power equipment monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 39. As for claim 35, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27, wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application is a rotational equipment analysis application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 40. As for claim 36, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 27,

Art Unit: 2154

wherein the first application is a process control application and the second application is a device diagnostic application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25).

41. As for claim 37, Spriggs discloses a data communication system within a process plant, comprising:

a database adapted to store a plurality of different types of data (database module 80, Fig. 1; col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 5); and

a plurality of applications communicatively coupled to the database via different communication networks, the plurality of applications including two or more of a process control application, a process performance monitoring application, a process device monitoring application and a business application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25; Fig. 3);

wherein at least one of the plurality of applications is a service application, wherein each of the plurality of applications are adapted to send data to the database to be stored, and wherein the database is adapted to provide to the service application data from the database that was sent to the database via another one of the applications (col. 2, line 45 – col. 3, line 15; col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25).

42. Although Spriggs teaches providing data sources including service applications from an outside (third-party) service provider (col. 6, line 62 – col. 7, line 15), Spriggs does not *explicitly* disclose that the outside service providers may *implement* the service

Art Unit: 2154

application. However, it is well-known and expected to those in the process and manufacturing arts (and, indeed, in virtually every computer-based art) that certain processes may be "outsourced" to outside service providers who implement the service. Indeed, due to the intricacies of many of these services, the implementation is often included in the purchase of the service or system and performed by experts working for the outside service provider, as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Forney, for example, teaches using an outside service provider for implementing a service application for collecting data from a plurality of sources within a plant (paragraph 0347). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using an outside service provider for implementing a service application in order to interface effectively with third party systems, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, and in order to provide for an efficient, adaptive interface for user control and monitoring of the system, as taught by Forney (paragraphs 0013-0014).

- 43. As for claim 38, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein two or more of the plurality of applications are adapted to access data from the database sent to the database via different ones of the applications (col. 2, line 45 col. 3, line 15; col. 6, line 49 col. 7, line 25).
- 44. As for claim 39, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, further including the plurality of communication networks coupled to the database and

Application/Control Number: 10/087,308

Art Unit: 2154

wherein the each of the plurality of applications communicates with the database via a different one of the plurality of communication networks (col. 8, lines 19-57).

- 45. As for claim 40, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is an optimization application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 46. As for claim 41, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is a process performance monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 47. As for claim 42, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is a device performance monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 48. As for claim 43, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is a power equipment monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).
- 49. As for claim 44, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is a rotational equipment analysis application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

Art Unit: 2154

50. As for claim 46, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is a reliability monitoring application (col. 2, lines 12-26; col. 7, lines -26).

- 51. As for claim 47, Spriggs discloses the data communication system of claim 37, wherein one of the plurality of applications is intermittently communicatively connected to database (portable data collectors; col. 21, lines 64-67).
- 52. As for claims 48-55, Spriggs inherently includes expressing the data in a descriptive language, because otherwise the data could not be displayed on a unified graphical user interface. However, Spriggs does not explicitly teach that the descriptive language may be XML. Forney teaches using XML as a descriptive language for expressing data collected from data sources in a plant (paragraph 0037). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using XML in order to efficiently and adaptively display data collected from multiple sources in a plant, as taught by Forney (paragraphs 0013-0014).
- 53. Claims 7, 22 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spriggs in view of Hays et al. (US 5,855,791) (hereinafter Hays).
- 54. As for claims 7, 22 and 45, although Spriggs discloses that any of a variety of monitoring applications may be integrated with the system, Spriggs does not explicitly

Art Unit: 2154

teach a corrosion monitoring application. Hays teaches the use of a corrosion monitoring application for controlling the performance of a cooling system (col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 3; col. 13, lines -28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spriggs by using a corrosion monitoring application in order to control the performance of a cooling system, as taught by Hays above.

Response to Arguments

- 55. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 56. On page 13 of the Remarks, applicant asserts that the base reference, Spriggs, fails to disclose or suggest making data accessible to an application implemented by an outside service provider. While the portal server approach of Forney supports gathering data from different sources, Forney's focus on data gathering fails to address or suggest the desirability of making data accessible to an application implemented by an outside service provider.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800

Art Unit: 2154

F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). As noted in paragraphs 5-6 above, Spriggs explicitly discloses making the stored data accessible to the service application (col. 6, line 49 – col. 7, line 25; col. 13, lines 14-25, "the database 82 also outputs data to the data exporter modules 300 for use by external applications and customer applications 306"). The Examiner admits that Spriggs does not specifically disclose that the outside service providers may *implement* the service application. Forney is only relied upon by the Examiner to teach an outside service provider implements the service application (page 10, 0347).

57. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Nandi, US 2002/0156542 discloses a method and system for monitoring, controlling and optimizing processes.

58. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3960. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Art Unit: 2154

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jungwon Chang March 2, 2006